

Willamette River Bridge Study

Working Meeting No. 3

August 28, 2008

Agenda

- | | |
|------------------|---|
| 9:00 – 9:10 am | 1. Welcome and Introductions |
| 9:10 – 9:15 am | 2. Meeting Goals and Report out on Working Group Recommendation to WRBAC |
| 9:15 – 10:15 am | 3. Review of Engineering Selection Criteria Matrix – “Many” to “Some” <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Criteria review - Homework due: Provide input on other possible selection criteriab. Review rating method used by consultant teamc. Validate – endorse engineering evaluation matrix and proposed ranking |
| 10:15 – 10:30 am | 4. Break |
| 10:30 – Noon | 5. Aesthetic/Urban Design/Function Selection Criteria – “Many” to “Some” <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Reach consensus on rating method (numerical, plus-minus-neutral, or other)b. Criteria review – Homework due: Provide input on other possible selection criteriac. Reach consensus on rating criteria |
| Noon – 1:00 pm | 6. Lunch |
| 1:00 – 3:15 pm | 7. Aesthetic/Urban Design/Function Ranking <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Rank each alternatives performance for each selection criteriab. Generate draft list of the “some” |
| 3:15 – 3:30 pm | 8. Break |
| 3:30 – 4:30 pm | 9. Summary – Report Out <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Results – top six from each ranking method |
| 4:30 – 5:00 pm | 10. Next Steps – Homework <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Share results with stakeholder groupsb. Prepare for discussion on how to reconcile groups into one list of “some”c. Working meeting No. 4 at TriMet, September 15, 2008 from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm |